UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

ANGELITA RAMIREZ	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-cv-225
	§	
WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC	§	
Defendant	§	JURY DEMANDED

$\frac{\textbf{INDEX OF DOCUMENTS FILED}}{\textbf{WITH REMOVAL ACTION}}$

- Plaintiff's Original Petition. A.
- Citation and Affidavit of Service by Certified Mail. В.
- Defendant's Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition.
 Defendant's Demand for Jury Trial. C.
- D.
- E.
- Case Summary.
 List of Counsel of Record. F.

Exhibit A

FILED
1/18/2022 10:16 AM
Mary Angie Garcia
Bexar County District Clerk
Accepted By: Laura Castillo
Bexar County - 407th District Court

CIT PPS

- 407th District Court CA	ENO. 2022CI00969		
ANGELITA RAMIREZ	§ IN THE	DISTRICT COURT	
Plaintiff,	8 8	and the second	
V.	ўли §	DICIAL DISTRICT	
WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC Defendant.	§ § BEXAR	COUNTY, TEXAS	

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES, ANGELITA RAMIREZ (hereafter referred to as "Plaintiff") in the above-styled and numbered cause complaining of WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC (hereafter referred to as "Defendant") and files this her Plaintiff's Original Petition. In support thereof Plaintiff respectfully shows the Court and Jury as follows:

I.

DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN LEVEL

1.01 Plaintiff intends that discovery be conducted under Level 3 as set forth in Rule 190.4 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

II.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

2.01 Pursuant to Rule 47 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedures, Plaintiff is seeking monetary relief between \$250,000 but not more than \$1,000,000 and a demand for judgment for all other relief to which Plaintiff deems herself entitled.

on and writing the

III.

PARTIES AND SERVICE

- 3.01 Plaintiff ANGELITA RAMIREZ is an individual who resides in Bexar County, Texas.
- 3.02 Defendant WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC is a corporation authorized to engage in business in the State of Texas, operates a shopping business throughout the State of Texas, including, but not limited to Bexar County, Texas, and may be served with process by serving its registered agent, CT Corporation Systems, 1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.

IV.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4.01 This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case because the amount in controversy is within this Court's jurisdictional requirements
- 4.02 This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because at the time of the events and conditions giving rise to this lawsuit and/or at the time this lawsuit was filed the Defendant was a resident of Texas and/or was organized under the laws of Texas and/or maintained a certificate of authority from the Texas Secretary of State to conduct business in Texas and/or maintained a principal place of business in Texas and/or maintained a registered agent in Texas and/or was doing business in Texas and/or solicited business in Texas from Texas residents and/or marketed and advertised in Texas to Texas residents and/or contracted in Texas with Texas residents and/or committed torts in whole or in part in Texas and/or recruited Texas residents for employment and/or maintained continuous and systematic contacts with Texas and/or is generally present in Texas and/or otherwise has the requisite minimum contacts with

Texas, have purposefully availed themselves of the privileges and protections of Texas law, and could reasonably expect to be sued in Texas.

4.03 Venue is proper in Bexar County, Texas, pursuant to Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Converse, Bexar County, Texas.

V.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- 5.01 On or about April 11, 2021, Plaintiff was an invitee at Defendant WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC'S local "WAL-MART" located at 8315 FM 78, Converse, Bexar County, Texas 78109.
- 5.02 As Plaintiff was walking in the premises, Plaintiff tripped and stumbled on a dangerous condition, namely a missing and broken tile, on Defendant's premises. Plaintiff was injured because of the trip and stumble. There were no "Warning Signs" on or near the dangerous condition. As a result of the incident, Plaintiff sustain bodily injuries.
- 5.03 At the invitation of Defendant, Plaintiff entered upon Defendant's premises as an invitee to engage in the act of purchasing goods, foods, and/or services.
- 5.04 While Plaintiff was conducting business on Defendant's premises, she was injured because of a dangerous condition on Defendant's premises. The condition posed an unreasonable risk of harm to Plaintiff, was the result of the actions, behavior, conduct, and/or inactions on the part of Defendant's agents, employees, representatives, and/or servants, while in the course and scope of their respective employment and/or official duties with Defendant. These actions and/or inaction by Defendant amounted to negligence.
 - 5.05 Nothing Plaintiff did or did not do contributed in any way to the incident.

VI.

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: NEGLIGENCE

- 6.01 Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
 - 6.02 Defendant's acts and omissions outlined herein constitute negligence.
- 6.03 Defendant owed a common law duty to exercise ordinary care in the operation of its business so as not to endanger the safety of others such as Plaintiff. Ordinary care is that degree of care that would have been used by a person of ordinary prudence under the same or similar circumstances.
- 6.04 Defendant by and through its agents and employees breached that duty by one or more of the following acts and omissions:
 - A. Failing to maintain the floor and/or premises in a reasonably safe condition;
 - B. Failing to give adequate and obvious warnings to Plaintiff of the unsafe condition of the floor of the business premises;
 - C. Failing to discover dangerous conditions on the floor within a reasonable time prior to subjecting Plaintiff and other persons to enter the business premises or area:
 - D. Failing to inspect the floor for any unreasonably dangerous conditions within a reasonable time prior to subjecting Plaintiff and other persons to enter the business premises or area;
 - E. Failing to remove the unreasonably dangerous conditions from the floor prior to the Plaintiff and other customers entering the business premises: and,
 - F. Failing to provide sufficient and adequately trained personnel to inspect, find, and remove, unreasonably dangerous conditions from the floor, prior to subjecting Plaintiff and other persons to enter the business premises or area.
- 6.05 As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's negligent acts or omissions, Plaintiff has suffered damages as described hereafter.

VII.

PLAINTIFF'S SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: PREMISES LIABILITY

- 7.01 Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations contained above as if fully set forth herein.
- 7.02 At all material times, Defendant. owned, possessed, operated and/or maintained the business premises "WAL-MART" located at 8315 FM 78, Converse, Bexar County, Texas 78109. Furthermore, Defendant owed certain duties of care to Plaintiff and other business invitees.
- 7.03 Defendant breached its duty owed to Plaintiff and other invitees to exercise ordinary care by failing to adequately warn Plaintiff of the aforementioned dangerous condition at the premises "WAL-MART" located at 8315 FM 78, Converse, Bexar County, Texas 78109, and to make the premises reasonably safe for Plaintiff, which includes the duty to inspect the premises and to discover any dangerous condition.
- 7.04 Defendant and/or its agents, and/or its servants, and/or its employees knew or should have known of any dangerous condition that created an unreasonable risk of harm to the Plaintiff and other business invitees.
- 7.05 Despite such knowledge, Defendant and/or its agents, and/or servants, and/or employees negligently failed to warn Plaintiff of the dangerous condition at the premises or to otherwise make the premises safe. This condition existed even though Defendant or Defendant's agents, and/or servants, and/ or employees knew or should have known of the existence and that there was likelihood of a person being injured.
- 7.06 At all material times, Defendant and its agents, employees, representatives, and/or servants, who were acting within the course and scope of their respective employment, breached

its duty in each of the following respects:

- A. Failing to maintain the floor and/or premises in a reasonably safe condition;
- B. Failing to give adequate and obvious warnings to Plaintiff of the unsafe condition of the floor of the business premises;
- C. Failing to discover the substance on the floor and/or condition of the floor within a reasonable time prior to subjecting Plaintiff and other persons to enter the business premises or area;
- D. Failing to inspect the floor for any foreign substances and/or unreasonably dangerous conditions within a reasonable time prior to subjecting Plaintiff and other persons to enter the business premises or area; and
- E. Failing to remove the substance on the floor and/or condition on the floor for the Plaintiff and other customers entering the business premises.
- 7.07 At all material times, Plaintiff was an invitee on the premises at the time of her injuries and other damages. At the time of Plaintiff's injuries (1) the substance and/or condition on the premises created an unreasonable risk of harm to the Plaintiff; (2) the owner and/or possessor and/or operator knew or reasonably should have known of the substance on the floor; (3) owner and/or possessor and/or operator failed to exercise ordinary care to protect the invitee from danger; and (4) owner's and/or possessor's and/or operator's failure was a proximate cause of the injuries to the invitee.
- 7.08 At all material times, all the agents, employees, representatives, and/or servants, of Defendant who were connected with the incident, were acting within the course and scope of their respective employment or official duties and in furtherance of the duties of their office or employment. Therefore, Defendant is further liable for the negligent acts and omissions of their respective agents, employees, representatives, and/or servants under the doctrine of respondent superior.

7.09 The Defendant's breach proximately caused the Plaintiff's injuries and damages as set forth below.

VIII:

EXEMPLARY DAMAGES/GROSS NEGLIGENCE

- 8.01 Defendant's acts or omissions by and through their respective agents, employees, representatives, and/or servants, when viewed from the standpoint of Defendant at the time of the act or omission, involved an extreme degree of risk considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to Plaintiff and others. Defendant had actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved in the above-described acts or omissions but nevertheless proceeded with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of and others.
- 8.02 Based on the facts stated herein, Plaintiff requests exemplary damages be awarded to her from Defendant.

IX.

INJURIES AND/OR DAMAGES SUSTAINED BY PLAINTIFF

- 9.01 As a direct and proximate cause of the incident, Plaintiff has incurred customary medical and doctor expenses in the past and will, in reasonable probability, continue to suffer as a result from her injuries.
- 9.02 By reason of the foregoing injuries and damages, Plaintiff seeks damages for the elements of damages listed below:
 - a. Reasonable medical and healthcare expenses for necessary medical and healthcare treatment in the past;
 - b. Reasonable medical and healthcare expenses for necessary medical and healthcare treatment in the future;
 - c. Pain and suffering in the past;

- d. Pain and suffering in the future;
- e. Mental anguish in the past;
- f. Mental anguish in the future;
- g. Physical disfigurement in the past; and
- h. Physical disfigurement in the future.

X.

JURY DEMAND

10.01 Plaintiff hereby demands a TRIAL BY JURY in this cause.

XI.

MISNOMER/ALTER EGO

11.01 In the event any parties are misnamed or are not included herein, it is Plaintiff's contention that such was a "misidentification", "misnomer" and/or such parties are/were "alter egos" of parties named herein. Alternatively, Plaintiff contends that such "corporate veils" should be pierced to hold such parties properly included in the interest of justice.

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully prays that Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein, and that a Trial by Jury (On the Merits) be scheduled, and at the conclusion of said Trial, judgment be entered in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant for damages in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of the Court (in compliance with the monetary relief sought by Plaintiff); exemplary damages, excluding interest, and as allowed by Section 41.008, Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code; together with prejudgment interest (180 days after Defendant receives written notice of Plaintiff's claims or from the date of the filing of this petition whichever is earlier, through to the date immediately

preceding the date of the rendition of judgment in this cause) at the maximum rate allowed by law; post-judgment interest at the legal rate; costs of court; and such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled at law or in equity.

Respectfully submitted,

TIJERINA LEGAL GROUP, P.C.

1200 S. 2nd St., Suite 4A McAllen, Texas 78501 Telephone No. (956) 777-7000 Facsimile No. (956) 972-0144 E-Mail: TijerinaLit@gmail.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Exhibit B

Case 5:22-cv-00225-FB Document 1-1 Filed 03/08/22 Page 13 of 29

FILED 2/15/2022 3:43 PM Mary Angie Garcia Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Matthew Stanage Bexar County - 407th District Court

PRIVATE PROCESS

Case Number: 2022CI00969

CITATION

Angelita Ramirez VS Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC (Note: Attached Document May Contain Additional IN THE 407TH DISTRICT COURT

Litigants)

BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

"THE STATE OF TEXAS"

Directed To:

WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC

BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS

"You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00am on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this CITATION and PETITION a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org" Said ORIGINAL PETITION was filed on this the 18th day of January, 2022.

ISSUED UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT on this the 21st day of January, 2022.

DEREK I SALINAS ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF 1200 S 2ND ST 4A MCALLEN TX 78501



Mary Angie Garcia **Bexar County District Clerk** 101 W. Nueva, Suite 217

San Antonio, Texas 78205 By: /s/ Ana Cortijo Ana Cortijo, Deputy

ANGELITA RAMIREZ VS V	VAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC				Case Number: 2022Cl00969 407th District Court
		Offic	cer's Return	ı	
CITATION with attached	ORIGINAL PETITION the date of	of delivery end	orsed on it to t	the defenda	_M. and () executed it by delivering a copy of the in person on or () not executed because
Fees:	Badge/PPS #:	, Ds	ate certificatio	n expires:	
Texas				BY:	County,
OR: VERIFICATION OF RE	TURN (If not served by a peac	e officer) SWO	RN TO THIS		
					NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF TEXAS
					, and my
	of perjury that the foregoing i				County, State
					Declarant

Please see a Hacned

CAUSE NO. 2022CI00969

ANGELITA RAMIREZ

§ IN THE 407TH DISTRICT COURT

§

VS

§

WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC

§ BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Party served: WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC THROUGH REGISTERED AGENT: CT CORPORATION SYSTEMS

Address served: 1999 Bryan St, Ste 900, Dallas, Texas 75201

County of Service: Dallas

Method of Service: Certified Mail

Certified Mail Return Receipt Number: 7021 0350 0002 1524 2589

Came to Hand Date: <u>January 21, 2022 at 5:00 p.m.</u> Date and time served: February 8, 2022 at 10:45 a.m.

Documents to be served: 1. CITATION 2. PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL PETITION

I am certified under order of Texas Supreme Court to serve process, including citations in Texas. My identification number and certification expiration date appear below. I am not a party to or interested in the outcome of this suit. My identification number and certification expiration date appear below. I received and delivered the Specified Documents to Defendant as stated above. All statements made herein are true. This return is verified or is signed under penalty of perjury.

My name is Adriana Garcia. My date of birth is 5/9/73. My address is PO Box 641, Mission, Tx 78573. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing, including the Return of Service, is true and correct.

Executed in Dallas County, Texas, USA on February 15, 2022.

Signature:

Adriana Garcia, PSC #: 12610 Expires: 4/30/2022

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON D	DELIVERY
 Complete Items 1, 2, and 3. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 	A. Sight Vire X B. Received by (Printed Name) George Martine	Agent Addressee CBate of Delivery FEB 8 2027.
1. Article Addressed to:	D. Is delivery address different from If YES, enter delivery address b	item 1?
Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC by serving: CT Corporation Systems 1999 Bryan St. Ste 900 Dallas, Texas 75201		

USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Results

USPS Tracking®

FAQs >

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 70210350000215242589

Remove X

Your item has been delivered to an agent for final delivery in DALLAS, TX 75201 on February 8, 2022 at 10:45 am.

USPS Tracking Plus[®] Available ✓

⊘ Delivered to Agent for Final Delivery

-eedback

February 8, 2022 at 10:45 am DALLAS, TX 75201

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates	~
Tracking History	~
USPS Tracking Plus®	~
Product Information	~

See Less ^

2/15/22, 3:00 PM

USPS.com® - USPS Tracking® Resul

Can't find what you're looking for?

Go to our FAQs section to find answers to your tracking questions.

FAQs

Feedback

Exhibit C

Case 5:22-cv-00225-FB Document 1-1 Filed 03/08/22 Page 19 of 29

FILED
2/25/2022 11:20 AM
Mary Angie Garcia
Bexar County District Clerk
Accepted By: Matthew Stanage
Bexar County - 407th District Court

NO.: 2022CI00969

ANGELITA RAMIREZ	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
VS.	§	407TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC	8	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC, Defendant in the above numbered and entitled cause of action, and files this Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition and for support thereof would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

I.

Defendant asserts denials, under Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, to each and every allegation contained in the said Petition, and demands strict proof thereof.

II.

Pleading further and without waiving the foregoing, Defendant asserts that if Plaintiff suffered injuries as a result of the incident made the basis of this lawsuit, which Defendant expressly denies by the filing of this pleading, that said injuries were caused in whole or in part by Plaintiff's own negligence or responsibility. Accordingly, Defendant asserts all rights, privileges and remedies afforded or available pursuant to Chapter 33 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code.

III.

Pleading further and without waiving the foregoing, Defendant asserts that Plaintiff's recovery of medical or health care expenses, if any, is limited to the amount actually paid or incurred by or on behalf of Plaintiff. Accordingly, Defendant asserts all rights, privileges and

remedies afforded or available to it pursuant to §41.0105 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code.

IV.

Pleading further and without waiving the foregoing, if exemplary damages are awarded to Plaintiff, Defendant intends to invoke the cap in the Damages Act, Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code chapter 41, which restricts and limits Plaintiff's claim for exemplary damages.

V.

Pleading further and without waiving the foregoing, Defendant asserts that the incident in question was proximately caused or solely proximately caused by the negligent and/or wrongful conduct of persons or third parties outside the control of this Defendant. Accordingly, Defendant asserts all rights, privileges and remedies afforded or available to it pursuant to Chapter 33 of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code.

VI.

Pleading further and without waiving the foregoing, Defendant specifically reserves the right to amend this Answer, as is its right under the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

PREMISES CONSIDERED, WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC prays that Plaintiff take nothing by this lawsuit, and that Defendant be allowed to go hence without day and recover all of their costs and attorneys' fees, and such other and further relief, both special and general, at law or in equity, to which they may show themselves justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

DAW & RAY, LLP

/s/ James K. Floyd

James K. Floyd; TBN:24047628
Email: jfloyd@dawray.com
Elizabeth W. Yancy; TBN:24098642
Email: eyancy@dawray.com
14100 San Pedro Ave., Suite 302
San Antonio, TX 78232
(210) 224-3121 Telephone
(210) 224-3188 Facsimile
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT,
WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been served upon all known counsel of record by electronic service on this the 25th day of February, 2022.

Humberto Tijerina, III Cesar Palma Derek Salinas Gerardo L. Garcia, Jr. TIJERINA LEGAL GROUP, P.C. 1200 S. 2nd St., Suite 4A McAllen, Texas 78501 Email: TijerinaLit@gmail.com

/s/ James K. Floyd
James K. Floyd

Exhibit D

Case 5:22-cv-00225-FB Document 1-1 Filed 03/08/22 Page 23 of 29

FILED 2/28/2022 11:00 AM Mary Angie Garcia Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Luis Herrera Bexar County - 407th District Court

NO.: 2022CI00969

ANGELITA RAMIREZ	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
VS.	§	407TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC	§	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANT'S DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW, WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC, Defendant herein and, pursuant to the applicable Rules of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby formally makes this demand and application for a jury trial in this litigation. Defendant will tender any appropriate jury fee upon the request of the court.

Respectfully submitted,

DAW & RAY, LLP

/s/ James K. Floyd

James K. Floyd; TBN:24047628 Email: jfloyd@dawray.com

Elizabeth W. Yancy; TBN:24098642

Email: eyancy@dawray.com

14100 San Pedro Ave., Suite 302

San Antonio, TX 78232

(210) 224-3121 Telephone

(210) 224-3188 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned does hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been served upon all known counsel of record by electronic service on this the 28th day of February, 2022.

Humberto Tijerina, III Cesar Palma

Email: TijerinaLit@gmail.com

Derek Salinas Gerardo L. Garcia, Jr. TIJERINA LEGAL GROUP, P.C. 1200 S. 2nd St., Suite 4A McAllen, Texas 78501

/s/ James K. Floyd
James K. Floyd

Exhibit E

407th District Court

Case Summary

Case No. 2022CI00969

Angelita Ramirez VS Wal-Mart Stores

Texas, LLC

§ Location

407th District Court

§ Judicial Officer

407th, District Court

§ Filed on

01/18/2022

Case Information

Case Type: PREMISES

Case Status: 01/18/2022 Pending

Assignment Information

Current Case Assignment

Case Number 2022CI00969

Court

407th District Court

Date Assigned 01/18/2022

Judicial Officer 407th, District Court

Party Information

Lead Attorneys

Plaintiff

Ramirez, Angelita

SALINAS, DEREK I

Retained

Defendant Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC FLOYD, JAMES

Retained

Events and Orders of the Court

Case 5:22-cv-00225-FB Document 1-1 Filed 03/08/22 Page 27 of 29

New Cases Filed (OCA) 01/18/2022 01/18/2022 **PETITION** 01/21/2022 Citation Wal-Mart Stores Texas, LLC Served: 02/08/2022 RETURN OF SERVICE - SUCCESSFUL 02/15/2022 WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC ORIGINAL ANSWER OF 02/25/2022 WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC 02/28/2022 REQUEST for Jury Trial

Exhibit F

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

ANGELITA RAMIREZ	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO.: 22-cv-225
	§	
WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC	§	
Defendant	§	JURY DEMANDED

LIST OF COUNSEL OF RECORD

1. Humberto Tijerina, III; SBN: 24028010

Cesar Palma; SBN: 24094884 Derek Salinas; SBN: 24093098

Gerardo L. Garcia, Jr.; SBN: 24123059

Email: <u>TijerinaLit@gmail.com</u> Tijerina Legal Group, P.C. 1200 S. 2nd St., Suite 4A McAllen, Texas 78501 (956) 777-7000 Telephone (956) 972-0144 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF,
ANGELITA RAMIREZ

2. James K. Floyd; SBN: 24047628

Email: jfloyd@dawray.com

Elizabeth W. Yancy; SBN: 24098642

Email: eyancy@dawray.com

DAW & RAY, LLP

14100 San Pedro, Suite 302 San Antonio, Texas 78232 (210) 224-3121 Telephone

(210) 224-3121 Telephone (210) 224-3188 Facsimile

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, WAL-MART STORES TEXAS, LLC